

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,685	BUDDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2652	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____

 (2) Paul T. Dietz, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 December 2005
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 26, 30 and 31.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims, supra, by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)